

15 April 2002  
5518 Revere Drive,  
Salt Lake City, Utah, 84117

Lt. Commander Scott Kirk  
1907 Oakshire  
San Antonio, Texas, 78232

Dear Scott:

In accordance with my promise to you I have reviewed all the correspondence since your cousin, Stephen, was first mentioned to me. First of all you mentioned him first in your 24 Nov 1999 letter to me enclosing a lot of photocopies which he had sent to you and which you had photocopied to share with me. There were many enclosures dealing with printed abstracts from various North Carolina counties and counties in Georgia dealing with Kirk materials and related lines. I read the pages through carefully and found by reviewing my original research notes that there was nothing there that I hadn't already searched for you and used in previous reports to you.

I answered your letter 11 Dec 1999. Your cousin called me on the phone the same day and we chatted. He said a niece had located a will mentioning your ancestor Stephen at the State Library at Raleigh. I recommended to you during this time that we hold the account in abeyance for 6 months due to the great amount of duplication here on my part and that of your cousin.

At the end of the six months I reviewed again all of my research notes and compared again against Stephen's notes and really didn't come up with any new ideas other than what I recommended to look for a few missing probates for parties mentioned in North Carolina early and also to check Penn. records since the older Stephen with wife, Elizabeth McClendon, was in Wilkes county first, then Hancock with her husband some of her family members. An older lady who had come from Penn. was also in the area and I feel it possible that the Stephen of Elizabeth could likely be related to her.

On 15 Nov 2000 I sent you a report with a copy also to your cousin since you had expressed a desire for us to work with him. You both received the same reports and I addressed this to "dear clients". In your 21 Nov 2000 E Mail to me you acknowledged receipt of report and thanked me for sending a copy to your cousin.

20 Jan 2001 report to you only about your mom's Barnes and related lines research. A small mention of some Womach sheets and sent Stephen only the copies of those Womach work sheets as part of shared data which you had authorized on 22 Jan. 2001. He received copies only of the Womach sheets and nothing to do with the main part of that report to you which was

largely about your mom's ancestry.

Womach sheets sent to both yourself and Stephen were  
Abraham Womach born abt. 1743 with children- Charles and Anna who married Stephen Kirk;  
Abraham Womack born abt. 1743 of Virginia, wife Martha, Mitchell, children Sherwood, Nancy,  
David, Mancil, Sisley, Susannah, Mary, Jesse, William, Lucretia, John, Elizabeth and Sarah.;  
Massanello Womack born 1751, Cumberland county, N. Car., wife- Elizabeth and children-  
Robert W., Wm. L., Patty, Elizabeth and Sarah G Womack; Richard Womack- born 1676  
Henrico county, Va. marr. 1705 Elizabeth Puckett, children Alexander, Richard; Thomas Womack  
Sr. born abt. 1633 of Henrico county, Va., wife Mary, children-Abraham, Sarah, Isham, Thomas,  
Martha, Frances, Judith, Elizabeth, Mary Womack; William Womach born abt. 1704 of  
Goochland county, Va., no wife found, children-Agnes, Priscilla, Martha, Sarah, Mary, Judith,  
Wells, born betw. 1725-1737; William Womach born about 1743 of Prince Edward county, Va,  
wife unknown, children-James, Watson, William, Tygnal, Archers, Betsy, Polly, Patsy, Levenna  
and Lelias.

Received undated letter from your cousin after that thanking me for the Womach/Womack  
data.

29 Jan letter with a large packet of data from your cousin. I reviewed the material and  
sent him a letter of thanks saying I would study his enclosures and compare against my own  
research. The majority of all the materials and there was a lot was once again a repeat of what I  
had already sent to you in my previous reports and a repetition of part of the file that he had sent  
to you and that you had sent to me initially when he came into the picture and you told me about  
him. I reviewed all the material that you had sent on the E-Mail from various parties as well and  
compared again this material. Here again a tremendous amount of duplication. The only thing  
that wasn't was material on a Stephen, son of John of Montgomery county, N. Carolina. The  
bible material from a library in North Carolina abstracts that he sent me clearly showed that he  
could not be your Stephen because he never left North Carolina. He lived and died there. I used  
that material as part of the last report to you and showed not only all the end of line older Kirk's  
that I had located in probates early not previous sent to you and also the data on the John and his  
Son, Stephen who didn't leave North Carolina.

This was the only communication that I didn't send along copies to you because accept for  
the bible records which dealt not with your families, most of material was duplication of what I  
had already sent you and what he had sent to you and you had sent to me. I have therefore, gone  
to Kinko's and made a complete copy of every single sheet in that 29<sup>th</sup> Jan. letter from your  
cousin. It cost \$4.23 to copy and then there will be the mailing costs which I will send 1st class  
and insured to be sure you get it. There was some material on Loyalists of the revolution which  
he sent which I had not seen at the library, so that would be something new on some Kirk names.  
However, not mention of a Stephen Kirk in those pages enclosed. As previously mentioned the  
vast majority of everything he sent I had searched myself here in references for Orange, Chatham,  
Caswell, etc. in North Carolina.,

I deduct these copy and mailing expenses from the funds that you have sent me recently plus my billing fee, then the funds that will be left over will be spent in following through on end of line names on Kirks in North Carolina and Penn. references not previously checked. If you have any differing wishes as to how the remainder of the time be spent for the funds left, please let me know and I will be glad to cover anything else you may wish covered.

I plan to check a history on the counties of Caswell, Orange, Halifax, Culpeper, Chatham and Cumberland counties in Virginia for the formation and names of early Presbyterian and Baptist churches which might net us additional material on some of these end of line names. The Presbyterian Archive at Montreat in North Carolina will then be checked for you with the names of the early Prebysterian churches. My hope is to locate the marriage of your Stephen Kirk to Anna Womach/Womack. Should I be successful in locating that marriage, then we will better be able to determine who his parents might be hopefully, etc.

It has been my pleasure working with you on your family lines and I was pleased when I got underway a few years ago to locate a probate in Georgia which died your Kirk to his father, Lewis from guardian records which I have send you in the past, then the connection from Lewis to his father Stephen and mother Anna Womach.

The earliest wills, guardian records and adminstrations and estate settlements for all possible Womach and Kirk's in Georgia, Alabama, North and South Carolina have been tracked down in general indexes and then looked up in original probate court records, plus printed abstracts.

One of the biggest discrepancies not fully solved remains was the Stephen of Elizabeth McClendon, the father or the same Stephen as yours? Did the Stephen of Elizabeth have no children from her and just have children through Anna? Going by Anna's age in the 1830 census when she was widow I had approximated his age from her approximate age. The Stephen of Elizabeth appears in deeds in the 1780's in Wilkes county, Georgia before appearing in Hancock. While your Stephen and his wife first appear in Jasper and Jones counties early in Georgia. If they are the same Stephen marrying twice, then he would have to be a lot older than Anna when they married. No mention in the estate settlement of other children which could have been through another wife.

The material that Stephen, your cousin, has sent from the Family History Library Ancestral File program is not correct to what my searches have shown so far and hence why I hesitated sending it along to you. I am sure the people that submitted the data meant well, but I just don't agree with their findings and who your Abraham's ancestry might be.

Also the lead I was told to follow in Cumberland county, Virginia by your cousin showed in deeds and probate the names of Anna and Charles, but they had a father not named Abraham at all. This was compiled in a family group sheet on that family so you could see that they weren't your direct lines family.

I have tried by best to follow all of the suggested leads which you have sent me on the internet and compiled as I could family group sheets on as many of the Kirk and Womach families as possible to show you what my research had shown.

May we wish you and your lovely wife, all the best in taking care of your darling twin boys and in the new little angel to come. When I have more time completed you will hear from me again.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lola Sorensen".

Mrs. Lola Sorensen/AG

encl/complete photocopies from Stephen Kirk's letter with enclosures dated 29 Jan 2001

51 photocopies he sent plus copy my letter of response to him.

p.s. I have received no materials from him since that packet.

12 Feb 2001  
5518 Revere Drive  
Salt Lake City, Utah,  
84117

Stephen Kirk  
P O Box 437  
Raymore, Missouri  
64083

Dear Stephen:

Thank you for the packet of data to study from various sources. The reference from Genealogy Com is sure a mess. Two different Abraham Womack families all blobbed together. Your Abraham didn't have that large of a family and the William belongs to the other Abraham who died in Hancock county in 1797. Sad to see the two families all bunched together.

The ancestral file data as stated is not verified and just taken into file by various submitters wanting to share with others. I really haven't seen that that fits at all on the ancestry of your Abraham, especially since many of the other Abraham's family who died in Hancock in 1797 are given to your ancestor. Just not right from my research thus far.

From the good work that you have done in deeds in Chatham, it would appear to me that the John Minter and Elizabeth connection is good.

I found in some deeds in Cumberland county, Va. mention in the 1820s of a Charles, Anna, Abraham Womack, but they are there after yours is dead. Anna is the wife of a Nathan who has a will there and I will be sharing that with you when I get my next report ready for you and Lt. Commander Kirk. When the turn comes up in my work orders again I will more thoroughly study all the good materials you have shared before proceeding to eliminate too much duplication of effort.

Thank you for all the materials.

Also the Abraham early in Caswell is not the Abraham you want, he died in Caswell and doesn't go into Chatham county. The Abraham on tax lists in Caswell is also the one that dies there.

Our biggest problem as I see it, is that there are too many Abrahams! Further the earliest Abraham which I have traced in various records I sent in my last report and he was born in early 1600's.

Hope your weather is better than the storms and cold here. I don't get out as much in this kind of weather.

Sincerely,

Lola Sorensen/AG